

1304. PHASELIS. EPITAPH OF HEROPHILOS, IOLE AND OTHERS. White (weathered to grey) marble sarcophagus with a tabula ansata on its side. Found in the necropolis. Ed. pr. D.J. Blackman, in: Phaselis 149-150 no. 9 (ph.; dr.), who points out that his reading of LL. 1-2 and LL. 7-10 is tentative.

Ἡρόφιλος ἀνεθ[η]κΟ...φαγ
Α..ξκατεσυρΑΤοα..νιον
μ[οι] καὶ γυναικί μου [κ]αὶ τεν
4 Ἡροφίλῳ καὶ γυναικί [τ]οῦ Ἡ-
ροφίλου Ἰόλῃ καὶ Ἰοῦς τῆ[κ]νοις
Ἀμμία καὶ Ἡροφίλῃ [ἀ]λλῳ
δέ οὐ[δ]ενί· εἰ δέ τις [ἀ]λλος
8 ἐνηξέυθειη, ἀποτείσει
.ο.υ.αυτα τῆ πατρίδι πρὸς
ατε...υ * πεντακόσια

Undated by ed. pr. || 1. The beginning and the end of this line are uncertain, ed. pr.; the verb looks like ἀνεθηκ[, but this is not easy to reconcile with κατεσυρ[in L. 2 (demolish?); but it does fit better than κατεσυρ[with LL. 3 ff.; -οαν: part of a name?, ed. pr. || 2. Initio A or Δ, than P or perhaps E, the third letter might be M, the fourth E; in the centre of the line perhaps PATO, but the third letter is not vertical: possibly PMO, ed. pr.; initio perhaps δέ με, but the traces do not appear quite to fit this, nor δρα, ed. pr.; in fine perhaps α[ὶώ]νιον, ed. pr. || 3. TEN: either a mistake for ΤΩ or an abbreviation for τέκνω (C. Habicht apud ed. pr.), ed. pr. || 6-7. [ἀ]λλῳ δέ οὐ[δ]ενί, with the preceding datives and the following clause, are easier to reconcile with ἀνεθηκ[than with κατεσυρ[, ed. pr.; there is a letter space between Ἡροφίλῃ and [ἀ]λλῳ, but it need not have been filled, ed. pr. || 8. In fine: ε is added in the right margin, ed. pr. || 9-10. πρόστε[ιμο]υ seems to be excluded by a downsloping oblique before the T at the beginning of L. 10, ed. pr., who suggests πρὸς ἀτε[λίαν]; cf. however J. and L. Robert, BE (1983) no. 406: "il nous paraît assuré qu'il faut reconnaître πρόστε[ίμου, 'à titre d'amende'" || 10. An oblique (A or Δ) before TE; four letters later a downsloping central oblique can be traced, ed. pr.